an aldol condensation product. In the presence of phosphorylating system, the phosphorus appears principally as inorganic phosphate, presumably by reaction with the water produced in the selfcondensation of pyruvate.
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#### Abstract

The Beckmann rearrangement of oxime sulfonates with simultaneous nucleophilic trapping of the intermediary iminocarbocation by organoaluminum reagents is described. This process provides a new and highly efficient route to imino thioethers, imino selenoethers, imino nitriles, and $\alpha$-alkylated amines starting from oxime sulfonates by the use of dialkylaluminum thiolates, selenolates, diethylaluminum chloride-trimethylsilyl cyanide, and trialkylaluminum-diisobutylaluminum hydride systems, respectively. The present organoaluminum-promoted Beckmann rearrangement of oxime sulfonates has been successfully applied to the synthesis of naturally occurring alkaloids, pumiliotoxin C and solenopsin A and B , in stereoselective fashion. Moreover, $\alpha, \alpha$-dialkylation of amines can be realized by the successive treatment of oxime sulfonates with trialkylaluminum followed by allylic or propargylic Grignard reagents in synthetically useful yields.


The Beckmann rearrangement is the skeletal rearrangement of ketoximes in the presence of certain acids, including Lewis acids, to give amides or lactams. ${ }^{1}$ Since the first discovery of this

rearrangement by Beckmann in 1886, ${ }^{2}$ successive investigations have largely clarified its scope, reaction mechanism, and the stereochemical configurations of the oximes employed. Accordingly, the Beckmann rearrangement has become an increasingly reliable synthetic tool in organic chemistry. The reaction has found broad application as a step in the manufacture of synthetic polyamides. ${ }^{3}$ It is a preferred way to incorporate the nitrogen atom efficiently in both acyclic and alicyclic systems, thereby providing a powerful method for a variety of alkaloid syntheses.

The mechanism of the Beckmann rearrangement consists essentially of the formation of an electron-deficient nitrogen atom by the partial ionization of the oxygen-nitrogen bond of the oxime

[^0]with a simultaneous intramolecular migration of the group anti to the departing hydroxy group, producing the iminocarbocation

which then reacts with water to give the corresponding amide. A careful examination of this reaction mechanism led us to consider that organoaluminum compounds might be employed as amphoteric reagents to induce the Beckmann rearrangement of oxime derivatives as well as to capture the intermediary iminocarbocation by the nucleophile which is originally attached to aluminum. ${ }^{4}$ This proved to be the case. In this paper, we wish to disclose the organoaluminum-promoted Beckmann rearrangement of oxime derivatives, from which several new synthetic procedures have been developed as described in the following sections. Moreover, the synthetic utility of these new procedures has been clearly demonstrated by the synthesis of naturally occurring alkaloids, pumiliotoxin $\mathbf{C}$ and solenopsin A and B , in stereoselective fashion.

As oxime derivatives, oxime sulfonates can be used preferentially for the following reasons: (1) ready availability from oximes using $p$-toluenesulfonyl chloride or methanesulfonyl chloride in the presence of base in almost quantitative yields; (2) ease of handling because of their fine crystalline properties; (3) high enough reactivity to initiate the rearrangement by organoaluminum reagents.
(4) For a review of aluminum reagents, see: Yamamoto, H.: Nozaki, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1978, 17, 169.

Synthesis of Imino Thioethers and Selenoethers. Imino thioethers are an important class of compounds as activated forms of amides for structural elaboration in alkaloid syntheses. ${ }^{5}$ Classically, the preparation of imino thioethers has been effected in two steps from amides, i.e., conversion to thioamides with phosphorus pentasulfide followed by transformation to imino thioethers by Meerwein's reagent. ${ }^{6}$ Several new methods have been reported recently, among which are (1) the reaction of ketenimines with thiols, ${ }^{7}$ and (2) the transformation of thioketals into imino thioethers via thionium ions. ${ }^{8}$ In addition to these previously known methodologies, we devised a more direct and general approach for the synthesis of imino thioethers from simple oximes by the use of dialkylaluminum thiolates.


A series of dialkylaluminum thiolates were prepared in situ from (1) diisobutylaluminum hydride (DIBAH) and dimethyl disulfide, or from (2) trimethylaluminum and thiols. ${ }^{9}$ Reaction of acetophenone oxime methanesulfonate (1) with diisobutylaluminum

methanethiolate (2) in methylene chloride proceeded smoothly under mild conditions ( $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{l} \mathrm{h}$ ) to furnish the desired imino thioether $\mathbf{3}$ in $90 \%$ yield. Structure 3 was characterized by an broad IR band at $1620 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\nu_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}}\right)$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ absorpitons at $\delta 2.33$ $\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right)$ and $1.93\left(\mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right)$. Notably, none of the substitution product 4 at the imino nitrogen was detected in this case. Structure $\mathbf{3}$ was further confirmed by the synthesis of the isomeric thiooxime derivative 4. Thus, treatment of benzonitrile with

methyllithium followed by dimethyl disulfide produced 4 , which exhibited a sharp IR band at $1670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\nu_{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{N}\right)$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ absorptions at $\delta 2.70\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right)$ and $2.23\left(\mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right)$.

With the demonstration of the facility and effectiveness of this process, attention was directed toward the regioselectivity in the reaction of unsymmetrical ketoximes. The aluminum reagent 2 did react with methanesulfonates of the oximes 5 and 6 under sharp discrimination of the configuration of ketoximes. Thus, the anti oxime 5 was converted with methanesulfonyl choride-tri-

ethylamine into its mesylate which was treated with the reagent 2 to furnish the imino thioether 7 in $64 \%$ yield. In contrast, the isomeric syn oxime $6{ }^{10}$ gave via the same reaction sequence the

[^1]imino thioether 8 exclusively, in $67 \%$ yield. Obviously, the regioselectivity of the reaction follows the general rule of the Beckmann rearrangement, and preferential migration of the group anti to the oxime sulfonate was observed.
As revealed in Table I, most oxime sulfonates react readily with 1-2 equiv of organoaluminum thiolates at or below $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (entry 16). Addition of organoaluminum thiolates at low temperature $\left(-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ is crucial (entries 6 and 9 ), since the reverse addition in some cases caused serious side reactions. The high regioselectivity of the reaction is apparent (entries 3, 7, 13-18). A synthetically more important feature of the new process is that introduction of various alkylthio as well as arylthio moieties (entries $11,16,17$ ) could be easily attained. Indeed, preparation of these derivatives using other methods would be difficult by the methodological limitation. Furthermore, imino aryl thioethers were found to be more susceptible toward nucleophilic attack. This process, however, suffers from one limitation: reaction of cyclopentanone oxime $p$-toluenesulfonate (9) with the aluminum reagent 2 under standard conditions resulted in formation of unrearranged $N$-methylthioimine 18 in $47 \%$ yield (entry 1). Such anomalous behavior was observed only in the case of cyclopentanone oxime sulfonate (cf. entries 3 and 13).

The present aluminum method, in principle, is applicable to a synthesis of other heterosubstituted imino derivatives, since the preparations of various organoaluminum reagents of type $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{AlX}$ are well established. ${ }^{11}$ For instance, treatment of oxime sulfonates with organoaluminum selenolates ${ }^{12}$ produced imino selenoethers as shown in Table II. To the best of our knowledge, the imino selenoethers cited in Table II were prepared for the first time. ${ }^{13}$ The scope of the synthetic potential of this highly interesting functionality awaits further investigation. But, the high reactivity of imino selenoethers is evident from the following experiment. Addition of anhydrous cupric chloride ( 1 equiv) to a methanolic solution of imino selenoether 33 at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave almost instantaneously the corresponding imino methyl ether 36 in $53 \%$ yield.


Synthesis of Imino Nitriles. Undoubtedly, $\alpha$-amino acids are most widely distributed as proteins in both plant and animal kingdom, and a wide variety of synthetic approaches for these substances have been developed so far. ${ }^{14}$ Among them, Strecker synthesis is well known and has a general applicability, although this method suffers from the severe disadvantages that a suitably optical resolution is always necessary to obtain the desired enantiomers from the racemic $\alpha$-amino acids. ${ }^{15}$ In contrast, imino nitriles are viewed as a masked form of $\alpha$-amino acids, and the presence of an $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$-prochiral center ( $\mathrm{a} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ bond) in them would serve a latent possibility to furnish optically active $\alpha$-amino acids. Therefore, an efficient approach to imino nitriles would be expected. ${ }^{16}$ The combination of diethylaluminum chloride with
(10) Jung, M. E.; Blair, P. A.; Lowe, J. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1976, 1439.
(11) Mole, T.; Jeffery, E. A. "Organoaluminum Compounds"; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1972.
(12) Kozikowski, A. P.; Ames, A. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2735.
(13) Selenoamides have been synthesized previously from thioamides by the use of sodium hydrogen selenides. See: Hartmann, H. Z. Chem. 1971, 11, 60 .
(14) For reviews, see: Greenstein, J. P.; Winitz, M. "Chemistry of the Amino Acids"; Wiley: New York, 1961; Vols. 1-3. Thompson, J. F.; Morris, C. J.; Smith, I. K. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 1969, 38, 137. "Amino-acids, Peptides, and Proteins", Specialist Periodical Reports; The Chemical Society: London, 1969 and succeeding years.
(15) For a review, see: Gilbert, E. E. "Sulphonation and Related Reactions"; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1965; p 136.
(16) For recent syntheses of $\alpha$-imino nitriles, see: Kimpe, N. D.; Verhė, R.; Buyck, L. D.; Chys, J.; Schamp, N. Synthesis 1978, 895 . Kimpe, N. D.; Verhẽ, R.; Buyck, L. D.; Chys, J.; Schamp, N. Bull. Soc. Chim. Belg. 1979, 88, 695. For a related study, see: Kimpe, N. D.; Verhē, R.; Buyck, L. D.; Hasma, H.; Schamp, N. Tetrahedron 1976, 32, 3063. Kimpe, N. D.; Verhé, R.; Buyck, L. D.; Chys, J.; Schamp, N. Org. Prep. Proc. Int. 1978, 10, 149. Kimpe, N. D.; Verhē, R.; Buyck, L. D.; Schamp, N. Synthesis 1979, 741.
commercially available trimethylsilyl cyanide (Utimoto's method) ${ }^{17}$ was utilized for our systems. For example, 6 -undecanone oxime (37) (l equiv) was converted in the usual manner to its mesylate,

which was treated successively with trimethylsilyl cyanide (1.1 equiv) and diethylaluminum chloride ( 1.1 equiv) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h to afford the imino nitrile 38 in $91 \%$ yield from 37.

In a similar manner, a variety of oxime sulfonates were transformed to the corresponding imino nitriles which are listed below. Since several imino nitriles are acid labile, column

chromatography on silanized silica gel can be employed to purify the products. The high regioselectivity is observed in the case of acetophenone and propiophenone oxime mesylates. It should be noted that other Lewis acids such as tin tetrachloride, boron trifluoride etherate, or trimethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate failed to serve the desired imino nitriles in the presence of trimethylsilyl cyanide.

Synthesis of $\alpha$-Alkylated Amines. $\alpha$-Alkylation of amines has received a great deal of attention since a large range of biologically active alkaloids possess such structures. ${ }^{18}$ Therefore, numerous approaches to $\alpha$-alkylation of amines have been investigated. ${ }^{19}$ Most of them reported so far, however, are not straightforward and require lengthy or complicated syntheses. A new and efficient approach to $\alpha$-alkylated amines is now available based on the organoaluminum-promoted Beckmann rearrangement of oxime sulfonates. The reaction is summarized below. Reaction of oxime

sulfonates with several equivalents of alkylaluminum reagents in methylene chloride resulted in formation of imines, which were directly reduced with excess DIBAH to give the corresponding amines. Moreover, the intermediary imines were directly treated with Grignard reagents producing the $\alpha, \alpha$-dialkylated amines, which will be the subject in the last section. One of the characteristic features of the method is that it permits the introduction

[^2]of two alkyl groups into a substrate with a simultaneous ring expansion operation. In other words, the carbon framework of a substrate can be elaborated with a regiospecific incorporation of a nitrogen functional group in one step.

This approach has a wide generality as illustrated in Table III. Oxime sulfonates of linear or cyclic structures are employable. Diethylaluminum alkynide (entries $5,13,16-18$ ) can be successfully used for introduction of the alkynyl group to a substrate in preference to ethyl group. Thus, a new entry to propargylic amino derivatives is now available in a single operation. Halogenated hydrocarbons such as methylene chloride, chloroform, and 1,2 -dichloroethane may be used as suitable solvent systems. Use of tetrahydrofuran (THF) gave little or no $\alpha$-alkylated amines. Rigorous regioselectivity is seen for the rearrangement-alkylation site (entries 6-8, 14-20) as is observed in the synthesis of imino thioethers and selenoethers.

Since the first discovery of the successive Beckmann rearran-gement-alkylation sequence by alkylaluminums, we had hoped to apply the reaction to the synthesis of physiologically active alkaloids such as pumiliotoxin C and solenopsin A and B . At the outset of these total syntheses, we studied the piperidine ring formation by Beckmann rearrangement in aprotic media and attempted the reaction of cyclopentanone oxime $p$-toluenesulfonate (9) with tri- $n$-propylaluminum to produce the simple piperidine

alkaloid coniine (66). ${ }^{20}$ Unfortunately, however, the original plan resulted in total failure. Thus, under standard conditions (method A in Table III), a mixture of the unrearranged amine 67 (23\%) and piperidine was obtained in addition to a small amount of the desired 66 (4\%). Moreover, using hexane as the solvent, amine 67 was produced exclusively in $62 \%$ yield. Surprisingly, switching the initial temperature from -78 to $40-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ enhances the normal rearranged product 66 at the expense of cyclopentylamine formation. These results are presumably ascribed to the rapid dissociation of dimeric tri- $n$-propylaluminum at high temperature, which would enhance the Lewis acidity of tri-n-propylaluminum toward the rearrangement of 9 as well as the nucleophilicity of the $n$-propyl group in $(n-\mathrm{Pr})_{3} \mathrm{Al}$ at the intermediary imino carbocation.

In contrast with 9, 2-alkylcyclopentanone oxime sulfonates undergo the rearrangement cleanly even in hexane solvent. For example, 2-methylcyclopentanone oxime tosylate (10) reacted with

tri- $n$-propylaluminum in either methylene chloride or hexane to furnish 2 -methyl-6-n-propylpiperidine (68) in 67-70\% yield. Thus, the rearrangement of five-membered ring systems appear to be highly dependent on the nature of the organoaluminum reagents in solution and the substrates.
With the modified recipe for the synthesis of $\alpha$-alkylpiperidine systems in hand, a simple and highly efficient route to pumiliotoxin C is described in the following section.

Synthesis of Pumiliotoxin C. Pumiliotoxin C was originally isolated from the skin of neotropical frogs Dendrobates pumilio and D. auratus. ${ }^{21}$ The complex stereochemical features and the
(20) Chemnitius, F. J. Prakt. Chem. 1928, I18, 25.

Table I. Synthesis of Imino Thioethers ${ }^{a}$
entry

[^3]Table II. Synthesis of Imino Selenoethers

| entry | oxime sulfonate | reagent ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (equiv) | $\begin{gathered} \text { conditions }^{b} \\ \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{~h}\right) \end{gathered}$ | product ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | R | yield ${ }^{d}(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 16 | $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{AlSeR}$ (2) | 0,1 |  | 32, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$ | 71 |
| 2 |  | $i-\mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{AlSeR}$ (1.1) | 0, 0.5 |  | 33, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}$ | 57 |
| 3 | 1 | $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{AlSeR}$ (2) | 0, 1.5 |  | 34, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$ | 49 |
| 4 |  | $i-\mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{AlSeR}$ (1.1) | 0,0.5 |  | 35, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}$ | 61 |

${ }^{a}$ For preparation of the reagent, see Experimental Section. ${ }^{b}$ The organoaluminum reagent was added at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the mixture was stirred under the conditions indicated. ${ }^{c}$ Purified by a short-path column chromatography. $d$ lsolated yield based on the starting oxime sulfonate.

Table III. Synthesis of $\alpha$-Alkylated Amines

| entry | starting material | method ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | product | R | yield ${ }^{b}$ (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 11 | A |  | 47, Me | 70 |
| 2 |  | A |  | 48, Et | 47 |
| 3 |  | A |  | 49, $n$ - Pr | 64 |
| 4 |  | A |  | $50, i-\mathrm{Bu}$ | 52 |
| 5 |  | B |  | 51, $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Bu}$ | 67 |
| 6 | 13 | A |  | 52, $n-\operatorname{Pr}^{c}$ | 48 |
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${ }^{a}$ For methods A-E, see Experimental Section. ${ }^{b}$ Isolated yield based on oxime sulfonate, unless otherwise noted. ${ }^{c}$ Obtained as a mixture of cis and trans isomers. ${ }^{d}$ Overall yield from L-menthone oxime.

This fact prompted us to investigate the short and highly stereoselective synthesis of pumiliotoxin C, which should be easily adapted for the preparation of other pumiliotoxins.

Synthetic design was based on the strategy summarized in Scheme I. 4-Methyltetrahydroindenone (69) was envisaged as an ideal starting material for ease of large-scale preparation via the Stobbe condensation of 2-methylcyclohexanone. ${ }^{23}$ The enone 69 could then be converted to cis-fused hexahydroindanone 70 by stereoselective hydrogenation ${ }^{24}$ and elaborated via alkyl-

[^4]Scheme I


Table IV. Stereoselective Hydrogenation of 4-Methyltetrahydroindenone (69) ${ }^{a}$

| run | solvent | additive | $\begin{gathered} \text { product } \\ \text { ratio }^{b} \\ (70 / 73) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | EtOH |  | 56:44 |
| 2 | THF |  | 79:21 |
| 3 | HCOOH |  | 75:25 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$ |  | 73:27 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ |  | 87:13 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{7} \mathrm{COOH}$ |  | 76:24 |
| 7 | THF | PhOH | 85:15 |
| 8 | THF | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CHCOOH}$ | 88:12 |
| 9 | THF | PhCOOH | 90:10 |
| 10 | THF | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ | 93:7 |
| 11 | THF | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}-\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ | 94:6 |
| 12 | hexane | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ | 90:10 |
| 13 | acetone | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ | 93:7 |
| 14 | ether | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ | 94 :6 |
| 15 | DME | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ | $94: 6$ |
| 16 | dioxane | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ | 95:5 |

${ }^{a}$ The experiment was carried out over palladium black as catalyst in the presence or absence of additives. ${ }^{d}$ Determined by GLC analysis.
aluminum-promoted Beckmann rearrangement of the oxime tosylate 71 to pumiliotoxin C (72). The stereochemical outcome in the present synthesis highly depends on the stereoselective hydrogenation of the enone 69 to establish the three chiral centers of the carbocyclic ring in 70, and to utilize these centers to control the introduction of the chiral center at carbon 2 in pumiliotoxin C. Thus, we examined at the outset the hydrogenation conditions of 69 .

Attempted hydrogenation of the enone 69 in acetic acid over

palladium black furnished a mixture of cis-fused indanones 70 and 73 in a ratio of $73: 27$. Both compounds were independently transformed into the corresponding lactams 74 and 75 by the
literature procedure. ${ }^{25}$ Furthermore, the major isomer 70 was epimerized with potassium tert-butoxide to give a separable (3:2) equilibrium mixture of 70 and 76 , which gave the lactam 77 in a similar manner. The lactams 74 and 77 correspond to authentic samples in all respects. ${ }^{25}$ In order to gain the desired ketone 70 selectively, the choice of the hydrogenation conditions is crucial. The degree of stereoselectivity of the reduction, 70/73, was dependent on the catalyst and solvent used. Among the catalysts, platinum oxide gave similar selectivity to palladium black, but rhodium catalyst did not under comparable reduction conditions. Table IV shows the solvent effect over palladium black as hydrogenation catalyst. In carboxylic acid solvent the selectivity is lower. The use of propionic acid as catalyst in ethereal solvents gave marked selectivity ( $94 / 6-95 / 5$ ).

Specifically, selective hydrogenation was realized with the greatest stereoselectivity ( $\sim 95 \%$ ) by using palladium black as catalyst in dioxane in the presence of catalytic propionic acid (12 $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ) at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h and 1 atm of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$. Without isolation the ketone 70 was treated with hydroxylamine hydrochloride ( 1.5 equiv) and sodium acetate ( 2 equiv) in methanol at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 $h$ to furnish after one recrystallization from methanol-water the oxime 78 as white crystals in $84 \%$ overall yield from 69. Treatment of the oxime 78 with $p$-toluenesulfonyl chloride ( 2 equiv) in pyridine at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h , followed by trituration with excess cold water, produced the oxime tosylate 71 in $90-95 \%$ yield. Finally, with tri- $n$-propylaluminum (3 equiv)

the tosylate 71 was transformed into the imine 79 which was directly reduced with excess DIBAH (4 equiv) stereospecificially ( $99 \%$ pure by GC assay) from the exo side to give pumiliotoxin C (72) in $60 \%$ yield after column chromatography. The spectral and physical data of synthetic pumiliotoxin C and its hydrochloride were identical in all respects with those of an authentic sample. ${ }^{22}$

In a like manner, the synthesis of other pumiliotoxin analogues can be prepared without any difficulties. For example, reaction of 71 with trimethylaluminum-DIBAH afforded 2 -methyl-cisdecahydroquinoline $\mathbf{8 0}$ in $57 \%$ yield in a stereoselective fashion.

The remarkably high stereoselectivity in the DIBAH reduction of the imine 79 is presumably ascribed to the following steric as

well as electronic effects. The exo-side approach of the hydride ion toward the stable conformation of 79 is preferred in view of the steric screening effect of the imino group by the carbocyclic ring, especially its $\mathrm{C}-5$ and $\mathrm{C}-7$ axial hydrogens. Moreover, the electrostatic interaction between the $\mathrm{C}-5$ axial hydrogen and the imino $\pi$ bond are considered to be of importance, thereby facilitating the exo-side attack of the hydride ion.
Synthesis of Solenopsin A and B. Solenopsin A (81) and B (82),


89: SOLENOPSIN A ( $n=10$ )
82; SOLENOPSIN B ( $n=12$ )
isolated from the red form of the fire ant, Solenopsis saevissima, ${ }^{26}$ have gained interest because of their pronounced hemolytic, in-

[^5]Scheme II

secticidal, and antibiotic activity. ${ }^{27}$ Therefore, synthetic investigations in several laboratories have been carried out to a great extent. ${ }^{28}$ None of the procedures, however, are generally applicable for synthesizing the characteristic trans-2-alkyl-6methylpiperidine structure in solenopsin $A(81)$ and $B(82)$, since specific introduction of an alkyl group to the desired trans form is not easy to achieve. ${ }^{29,31}$ This fact, together with the occurrence of the same structural unit in other natural product such as pseudo-carpaine, ${ }^{29}$ himbeline, himandravine, ${ }^{30}$ lythranine, and lythranidine ${ }^{31}$ led us to study the stereospecific route to solenopsin $A$ and $B$, using the Beckmann rearrangement-alkylation sequence by alkylaluminum compounds.
Scheme II shows a simple route to solenopsin A. Reaction of excess cyclopentanone ( 10 equiv) with 1 -undecene ( 1 equiv) in the presence of silver oxide ( 1 equiv) at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h produced, after isolation by the literature procedure, 2 -undecylcyclopentanone, ${ }^{32}$ which without purification was treated with hydroxylamine hydrochloride ( 2 equiv)-sodium acetate (3 equiv) in methanol at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h to give the pure anti oxime 83 in $73 \%$ overall yield from 1 -undecene as a semisolid. The anti oxime 83 was converted to the corresponding mesylate 84 in $90-95 \%$ yield with methanesulfonyl chloride ( 1.1 equiv)-triethylamine ( 1.5 equiv) in methylene chloride at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 min . The oxime tosylate of $\mathbf{8 3}$ can be prepared with $p$-toluenesulfonyl chloride ( 2 equiv) in pyridine in lower yield (35\%) because of the difficulty in its trituration from excess cold water. Thus, the oxime mesylate 84 was employed for the rearrangement. Treatment of 84 in methylene chloride with 2 equiv of trimethylaluminum in toluene at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min and $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h resulted in formation of imine 85 in $54 \%$ yield after workup with the sodium fluoride-water method. This rearrangement appeared to be sensitive to the reaction temperature, and at higher temperature ( $>40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) some decomposition of the product 85 was observed by TLC. Completion of the synthesis requires reduction of the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ double bond of 85 with the correct configuration. Unfortunately, however, it was soon apparent from examination of the literature that the existing methodology was totally inadequate for the selective reduction of $\mathbf{8 5}$ into the trans 2,6 -disubstituted piperidine structure, and up to $50 \%$ selectivity for the desired trans isomer was observed. ${ }^{28}$ Thus, attempted reduction of 85 using usual aluminumor borohydride-type reagents ${ }^{33}$ in different solvent at low temperature afforded solenopsin $A(81)$ and its cis isomer 86 in the range of $1 / 99$ to $80 / 20$ (by GC analysis) as illustrated in Table V (entries 1-10). Excellent stereoselectivity was attained in the

[^6]Table V. Stereoselectivity in the Reduction of the Imine 85 with Hydride Reagents ${ }^{a}$

|  |  |  | product <br> ratio |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| run | hydride reagent | solvent | $(86: 81)$ |

${ }^{a}$ Unless specified, the experiment afforded 81 and 86 in $>90 \%$
yield. For reduction conditions, see Experimental Section.
${ }^{b}$ Determined by GLC analysis.
formation of the trans form 81 using lithium aluminum hydride $\left(\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}\right)$ in ethereal solvents in the presence of an equimolar amount of trialkylaluminum at low temperature (entries $14-17$ ), and finally solenopsin A (81) was obtained almost exclusively ( $\sim 95 \%$ pure) by the use of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ ( 7 equiv) $-\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Al}$ ( 7 equiv) in THF at -78 to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (entry 17). The spectral data ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and IR) of synthetic solenopsin A were in good agreement with the reported ones. ${ }^{26}$

In a similar manner, solenopsin $B(82)$ was prepared with high stereoselectivity ( $\sim 95 \%$ by GLC assay) using the procedure which exactly paralleled that described above for synthesis of solenopsin A.

The observed high degree of stereoselectivity by the reduction of the imine with the $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$-trialkylaluminum system is of high synthetic value and deserves some comments for the stereochemical outcome, which is tentatively rationalized by the Houk theory ${ }^{34}$ as well as the theory of charge-transfer stabilization of the transition state for nucleophilic addition to a carbonyl group. ${ }^{35}$ Both theories would predict the strong preference for anti-periplanar and staggered attack of the hydride ion $\left(\mathrm{H}^{-}\right)$with respect to the vicinal $\sigma_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}}$ bond to the imino functional group. Thus, in the hydride reduction of the imine 85 , the underside approach of the hydride ion toward the imino $\pi$ bond is preferred by the stabilization of the $\sigma^{*}$ orbital (low-lying vacant orbital of the imine) through electron delocalization from the $\sigma_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}}$ bond into the $\sigma^{*} \neq$ orbital, producing the cis isomer 86. On the other hand,

in the presence of trialkylaluminum $\left(\mathrm{R}^{\prime}{ }_{3} \mathrm{Al}\right)$ as Lewis acid, the

[^7]Table VI. Synthesis of $\alpha, \alpha$-Dialkylamines ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Unless otherwise stated, oxime sulfonate was treated with $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Al}$ or $\mathrm{Pr}_{3} \mathrm{Al}$ according to the method A , and the resulting ketimine was directly alkylated using $\mathrm{R}^{2} \mathrm{MgBr}$. For details, see Experimental Section. $b$ Organoaluminum reagents of type $E t_{2}$ $\mathrm{AlC} \equiv \mathrm{CR}$ were used. ${ }^{c}$ A $3: 4$ mixture of $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ isomers $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}=\right.$ crotyl or 1-methyl-2-propenyl) was obtained.
alkyl group ( R ) of 85 would occupy the axial position because of the steric interaction between R and $\mathrm{R}_{3}{ }_{3} \mathrm{Al}$ coordinated to the nitrogen lone-pair electrons ( $\mathrm{A}^{(1,2)}$ strain). ${ }^{36}$ Such a conformational change facilitates the upperside approach of the hydride ion toward the imino $\pi$ bond to furnish the desired trans isomer 81.

Synthesis of $\alpha, \alpha$-Dialkylated Amines. With the successful application of the newly developed Beckmann rearrangementalkylation sequence to the synthesis of several alkaloids described above, we focus our interest toward the $\alpha, \alpha$-dialkylation process. In particular, the introduction of the functionalized alkyl groups enables further transformation of the produced amines to synthetically more important materials.
Reaction of acetophenone oxime mesylate (1) with excess al-

lyldiethylaluminum (4 equiv) in methylene chloride afforded $\alpha, \alpha$-diallylated amine 87 ( $47 \%$ yield) as the sole product. This information led us to investigate an efficient allylation of the ketimines derived from the Beckmann rearrangement of oxime sulfonates by organoaluminum reagents. As an allylation reagent, the Grignard reagent is employable for ease of preparation and handling. Thus, cyclohexanone oxime mesylate in methylene chloride was rearranged with trimethylaluminum ( 2 equiv) at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h to give the imine which was directly alkylated using allylmagnesium bromide ( 2 equiv) in ether to furnish 2-allyl-2methylazacycloheptane (88) in $60 \%$ yield.
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60\%
$60 \%$

$\stackrel{88}{\sim}$

Other examples of the reaction are given in Table VI. Unsymmetrical allylic Grignard reagents such as crotylmagnesium bromide provide a mixture of $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ isomers (entry 10 ). Successive introduction of alkynyl and allyl groups is also possible (entries 8 and 12). Notably, the propargyl group can be introduced in a regioselective fashion (entries 2, 5, 7, 11), providing an important precursor for the synthesis of various naturally occurring
(36) Narula, A. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 2017.

Table VII. Physical Properties and Analytical Data of Oxime Sulfonates

| oxime sulfonate | $\mathrm{mp}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\delta\right)^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 64-65 | 7.19-7.81 ( $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aryl CH$), 3.77$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$ ), 2.40 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ ) |
| 9 | 75-77 | ```7.02-7.82(4 H, m, aryl CH), 2.41(3 H, s, CH CH),2.16-2.70(4 H, m, CH2C=N), 1.56-1.99 (4 H, m, CH2CH2)``` |
| 10 | $b$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.10-7.88(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \text { aryl CH }), 2.43\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.25-2.85\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CHC}=\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}\right), 1.11 \\ & \left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 11 | 43-45 | $3.09\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.13-2.72\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}\right), 1.40-1.97\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ |
| 12 | $b$ | 7.10-7.92 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aryl CH), $2.38\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.38-1.93\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ |
| 13 | $b$ | 7.13-7.95 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aryl CH), $2.35\left(3 \mathrm{H,s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.03\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ |
| 14 | 38-40 | $3.00\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.32-2.83\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}\right), 1.45-1.93\left(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ |
| 15 | 85-87 | 7.13-7.93 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aryl CH$), 2.40\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.13-2.53\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}\right)$ |
| 16 | 64-66 | $3.00\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.27-2.65\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}\right), 1.22-2.01\left(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ |
| 45 | 113-114 | 7.08-7.88 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aryl CH), $3.19\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.06\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ |
| 46 | 108-109 | $\begin{aligned} & 7.15-8.17(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \operatorname{aryl~CH}), 3.19\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.67-3.08\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right), 1.70-2.17 \\ & \left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ |

${ }^{a}$ The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were taken in $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ solution for the oxime sulfonates $1,9,10,14$, and 16 , and in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution for other compounds. ${ }^{b}$ No melting point determination was performed owing to the thermal sensitivity of these compounds.
$\gamma$-keto amines such as anaferine, ${ }^{37}$ anahygrine, ${ }^{38}$ and norlobelanine. ${ }^{39}$

## Experimental Section

General. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on a Hitachi 260-10 spectrometer. Mass spectra were obtained with a JEOL JMS-D300 mass machine. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were measured on a JNM-PMX60 spectrometer. The chemical shifts are expressed in parts per million downfield from internal tetramethylsilane ( $\delta=0$ ). Splitting patterns are indicated as $s$, singlet; d, doublet; $t$, triplet; q, quartet; m, multiplet; br, broad peak. Melting-point determinations were performed by using a Shimadzu MM-2 micro-melting-point determination apparatus and are uncorrected. Analytical gas-liquid phase chromatography (GLC) was performed on Hitachi Model 163 and 164 instruments equipped with a flame ionization detector using nitrogen as carrier gas. All experiments were carried out under an atmosphere of dry argon. For thin layer chromatography (TLC) analysis throughout this work, Merck precoated TLC plates (silica gel $60 \mathrm{GF}_{254}, 0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$, or silica gel $60 \mathrm{HF}_{254}$ silanized, 0.25 mm ) were used. The products were purified by preparative column chromatography on silica gel E. Merck Art. 9385, or silanized silica gel E. Merck Art. 7719. Microanalyses were accomplished at the Institute of Applied Organic Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Nagoya University.

In experiments requiring dry solvents, ether, tetrahydrofuran (THF), dioxane, and 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME) were distilled from sodiumbenzophenone. Benzene, hexane, and toluene were dried over sodium metal. Methylene chloride was distilled from phosphorus pentoxide and stored over $4-\AA$ molecular sieves. Pyridine and triethylamine were stored over potassium hydroxide pellets. Diisobutylaluminum hydride in hexane $(1.0 \mathrm{M})$ was a commercial product. Other simple chemicals were purchased and used as such.

Preparation of Ketoximes. Aliphatic ketoximes were prepared by treatment of aliphatic ketones (1 equiv) with hydroxylamine hydrochloride ( 1.5 equiv) and sodium acetate ( 1.65 equiv) in methanol at 25 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for several hours. On the other hand, aromatic ketoximes were syntheszied from aromatic ketones ( 1 equiv), hydroxylamine hydrochloride ( 1.5 equiv), and $50 \%$ potassium hydroxide ( 3 equiv) in methanol under reflux for $1-2 \mathrm{~h}$. The crude products, thus obtained, were purified by recrystallization or by distillation.

General Method for Preparation of Oxime Tosylates. To a solution of the oxime ( 10 mmol ) in pyridine ( 10 mL ) at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $p$-toluenesulfonyl chloride ( 12 mmol ) portionwise over a period of $5-10$ min. The resulting mixture was stirred at ca. $-20-0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for several hours. The reaction progress was monitored by analytical TLC. When the mixture was poured with stirring into ice and water, most oxime tosylates crystallized immediately. Filtration, washing several times with cold water, and recrystallization of the crude product afforded the pure oxime tosylate, which was stored in the freezer. In case of unstable oxime tosylates, the corresponding mesylates were prepared as described below.

General Method for Preparation of Oxime Mesylates. To a solution of the oxime ( 10 mmol ) and triethylamine ( 15 mmol ) in methylene

## (37) Rother, A.; Bobbitt, J. M.; Schwarting, A. E. Chem. Ind. (London)

 1962, 654.(38) Leary, J. D.; Bobbitt, J. M.; Rother, A.; Schwarting, A. E. Chem. Ind. (London) 1964, 283.
(39) Wieland, H.; Koschkara, W.; Dane, E. Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1929, 473, l18. Wieland, H.; Koschkara, W. Ibid. 1929, 473, 122.
chloride ( 50 mL ) at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added methanesulfonyl chloride ( 11 mmol ) over a period of $5-10 \mathrm{~min}$. Stirring for an additional 30 min at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ completed the reaction. The reaction mixture was transferred to a separatory funnel with the aid of more methylene chloride. The mixture was first washed with cold 1 N hydrochloric acid, followed by saturated sodium bicarbonate solution and brine. Drying of the combined methylene chloride solution over sodium sulfate, followed by evaporative concentration, gave the crude product, which was pure enough for the organoaluminum-promoted Beckmann rearrangement. The crude oxime mesylates can be crystallized from ether-hexane or methylene chloridehexane solvent at low temperature.

The physical properties and analytical data of oxime sulfonates are listed in Table VII. Because of the thermal and moisture sensitivity of these compounds, no elemental analyses were performed.

Preparation of Dialkylaluminum Thiolates. From Diisobutylaluminum Hydride (DIBAH) and Dimethyl Disulfide. Dimethyl disulfide (1 equiv) was added dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to a solution of DIBAH in hexane ( 2 equiv). The evolution of hydrogen gas was observed after a short while. The resulting solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and used as a 1 M solution of diisobutylaluminum methanethiolate (2) in hexane without further purification.

From Trimethylaluminum and Thiols. ${ }^{9}$ The thiol ( 1 equiv) was added drop by drop at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to a 1 M solution of trimethylaluminum in hexane (l equiv). The hydrogen gas evolved slowly. The mixture was allowed to warm to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, stirred there for 30 min , and used as a 1 M solution of dimethylaluminum thiolate in hexane without any purification. The following aluminum thiolates were prepared by this method: $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{AlSEt}, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{AlSCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{AlSBu}^{t}, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{AlSPh}, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{AlS}(2-$ pyridyl). Bis(dimethylaluminum) 1,6-hexanedithiolate, $\mathrm{Me}_{2}$ AlS$\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{6} \mathrm{SAlMe}_{2}$, was prepared from 1,6-hexanedithiol (1 equiv) and trimethylaluminum (2 equiv) in a manner similar to that described above.

General Method for Preparation of Imino Thioethers. Imino thioethers were prepared by the reaction of oxime sulfonates with diisobutylaluminum methanethiolate (2) or dimethylaluminum thiolates in hex-ane-methylene chloride solvent. A typical procedure is illustrated by the transformation of acetophenone oxime mesylate (1) to the imino thioether 3.

The physical properties and analytical data for the imino thioethers are given in Table VIII.
Imino Thioether 3. To a stirred solution of oxime mesylate $1(231 \mathrm{mg}$, 1 mmol ) in methylene chloride ( 10 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added the aluminum thiolate $2(1.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol})$. After 5 min , the reaction mixture was warmed to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred at this temperature for 1 h . The reaction was then quenched by successive treatment with sodium fluoride ( 185 $\mathrm{mg}, 4.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and water ( $0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Vigorous stirring of the resulting suspension was continued at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min . The mixture was then filtered and the insoluble white precipitate was washed with methylene chloride. Concentration of the combined filtrates left a colorless oil, which was purified by short-path column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate as eluant) to give the imino thioether 3 ( $149 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ yield) as a colorless oil: IR (liquid film) 2915 (w), 1622 (s), 1590 (m), 1476 (m), 1357 (w), 1215 (m), 1138 (s), 1065 (w), 1020 (w), 773 (m), $692 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{~m}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) \delta 6.40-7.43(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aryl CH$), 2.33$ ( 3 $\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 1.95\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},=\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right)$.

Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NS}: \mathrm{C}, 65.41 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.71 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.48$. Found: C , 65.33 ; H, 6.89; N, 8.37.

Acetophenone Thiomethoxyoxime (4). To an ethereal solution ( 6 mL ) of benzonitrile ( $206 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ methyllithium ( 1.6

Table VIII. Analytical Data of Imino Thioethers and Selenoethers

| imino thioether ${ }^{a}$ or selenoether | $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)^{\text {b }}$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(\delta){ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 |  | 3.13-3.67 (1 H, m, NCH), 2.17 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SCH}_{3}$ ), $1.52\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ |
| 21 | $\begin{aligned} & 1627(\mathrm{~s}), 1105(\mathrm{~m}), 1055(\mathrm{~m}), 780(\mathrm{~s}), \\ & 750(\mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.55\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 2.38\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}\right), 2.14\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right) \text {, } \\ & 1.28-1.92\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 22 | $\begin{aligned} & 1630(\mathrm{~s}), 1452(\mathrm{~s}), 1373(\mathrm{~s}), 1187(\mathrm{~s}), \\ & 1139(\mathrm{~m}), 790(\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.57\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 2.79\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right), 2.34(2 \mathrm{H}, \\ \left.\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}\right), 1.24-1.98\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.19\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| 23 | $\begin{aligned} & 1630(\mathrm{~s}), 1452(\mathrm{~m}), 1373(\mathrm{~m}), 1187 \\ & (\mathrm{~m}), 1139(\mathrm{~m}), 790(\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.57\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 2.79\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right), 2.37(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \\ & \left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}\right), 1.20-1.93\left(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.20\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 24 | $\begin{aligned} & 1620(\mathrm{~s}), 1452(\mathrm{~m}), 1438(\mathrm{~m}), 1341 \\ & (\mathrm{~m}), 780(\mathrm{~m}), 756(\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.09-3.58\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 2.17-2.63\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}\right), 2.19,2.39(3 \mathrm{H} \text {, } \\ & \text { two s (ratio } \left.1: 4), \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 25 | $\begin{aligned} & 1630(\mathrm{~s}), 1462(\mathrm{~m}), 1448(\mathrm{~m}), 990(\mathrm{~m}) \\ & 920(\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5.55-6.26(1 H, m, C=CH), 4.87-5.43(2 H, m, CH } \left.H_{2}=\mathrm{C}\right), 3.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, J=7 \\ & \left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right), 3.43\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 2.51\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}\right){ }^{d} \end{aligned}$ |
| 26 | 1630 (s), 1433 (m), 741 (m) | $7.02-7.55\left(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}\right.$, aryl CH), $3.41\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 2.13\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}\right)$ |
| 27 | $\begin{aligned} & 1630(\mathrm{~s}), 1450(\mathrm{~m}), 1315(\mathrm{~m}), 1087 \\ & (\mathrm{~m}), 1035(\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | 3.49 (1 H, br s, CHN), 2.20 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SCH}_{3}$ ) |
| 28 | $\begin{aligned} & 1627(\mathrm{~s}), 1600(\mathrm{~s}), 1490(\mathrm{~m}), 1477 \\ & (\mathrm{~m}), 1361(\mathrm{~m}), 1132(\mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | 6.47-7.35 ( $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \operatorname{aryl~CH}), 1.89\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}\right), 1.55\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |
| 29 | $\begin{aligned} & 1640(\mathrm{~s}), 1599(\mathrm{~s}), 1482(\mathrm{~m}), 1440 \\ & (\mathrm{~m}), 1136(\mathrm{~m}), 768(\mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | 6.43-7.60 (10 H, m, aryl CH), $2.03\left(3 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{~s},, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ |
| 30 | $\begin{aligned} & 1628(\mathrm{~s}), 1593(\mathrm{~m}), 1565(\mathrm{~m}), 1445 \\ & (\mathrm{~m}), 1415(\mathrm{~m}), 1137(\mathrm{~m}), 1115(\mathrm{~s}) \\ & 758(\mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | $8.56\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}\right.$, aryl CH), 6.58-7.81 $\left(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}\right.$, aryl CH), $2.27\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)^{\text {d }}$ |
| 31 | 1615 (s), 1585 (m), 1125 (s), 765 (s) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6.45-7.33 (10 H, m, aryl CH), } 2.95\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right), 1.94\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \text {, } \\ & 1.22-1.85\left(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 32 | 1635 (s), 1440 (m), 1370 (m), 1185 (m) | $3.20\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 2.43\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}\right), 2.27\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SeCH}_{3}\right)$ |
| 33 34 | $\begin{aligned} & 1628(\mathrm{~s}), 1587(\mathrm{~m}), 1480(\mathrm{~m}), 1208 \\ & (\mathrm{~m}), 1110(\mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | $7.04-7.73(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aryl CH$), 3.37\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 2.20\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}\right)$ $6.47-7.40(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aryl CH$), 2.23\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)^{d}$ |
| 35 | $\begin{aligned} & 1640(\mathrm{~s}), 1593(\mathrm{~m}), 1480(\mathrm{~m}), 1435 \\ & (\mathrm{~m}), 1117(\mathrm{~s}), 772(\mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | 6.77-7.78 (10 H, m, aryl CH), 2.16 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ) |

[^8] film. ${ }^{c}$ Unless otherwise stated, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N M R$ spectra were taken in $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ solution. ${ }^{d}$ Determined in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution.
mL of a 1.3 M ethereal solution, 2.1 mmol ). After 30 min , the reaction mixture was treated with dimethyl disulfide ( $0.18 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The whole mixture was stirred for 1 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and worked up by the addition of cold water. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with ether. The combined extracts were dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo to give 4 as a colorless oil after purification by column chromatography on silica gel (ether-hexane, 1:10): TLC, $\boldsymbol{R}_{f} 0.46$ (ether-hexane, $1: 10$ ); IR (liquid film) $2910(\mathrm{~m}), 1557(\mathrm{w}), 1490(\mathrm{~m}), 1440(\mathrm{~m}), 1360(\mathrm{~m}), 1283(\mathrm{~m})$, 1022 (w), 755 (s), 718 (w), $685 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{~s}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) \delta 7.10-7.77$ $(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aryl CH$), 2.70\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 2.23\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},=\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right)$.

Imino Thioether 7 from 2-Methylcyclohexanone anti-Oxime (5). The anti-oxime 5 ( $127 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was converted, as described previously, into its mesylate, which without purification was treated with the aluminum thiolate $2(1.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min and at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h to give the imino thioether 7 in $64 \%$ yield after usual workup and purification by short-path column chromatography on silanized silica gel (ethyl acetate as eluant). 7: bp $130-135^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bath temp ( 5 mmHg ); IR (liquid film) 2950 (s), 2915 (s), 2840 (s), 1623 (s), 1440 (m), 1368 (w), 1320 (m), 1135 (m), 1055 (m), $1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{w}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 3.37-3.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{NCH}), 2.22\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 1.30(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ).

Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NS}: \mathrm{C}, 61.14 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.55 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.91$. Found: C , 61.15; H, 9.41 ; N, 9.02 .

Imino Thioether 8 from 2-Methylcyclohexanone syn-Oxime (6). The syn-oxime $6^{10}(127 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was transformed into its mesylate, followed by the imino thioether 8 in $67 \%$ yield as a colorless oil as described for the preparation of 7. 8: IR (liquid film) 2955 (s), 2910 (s), 2845 (s), 1645 (s), 1615 (s), 1445 (m), 1375 (w), 1335 (w), 1172 (m), $1055(\mathrm{~m}), 985(\mathrm{~m}), 925(\mathrm{w}), 785(\mathrm{~s}), 760 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{~s}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right)$ $\delta 3.45-3.83\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 2.08\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 1.16(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ).

Cyclopentanone Thiomethoxyoxime (18): IR (liquid film) 2955 (s), $2920(\mathrm{~s}), 2860(\mathrm{~m}), 1626(\mathrm{~m}), 1413(\mathrm{~m}), 1186(\mathrm{~m}), 960(\mathrm{w}), 785 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (m); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) \delta 2.63\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), \mathrm{l} .58-2.57\left(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.

Preparation of Dialkylaluminum Selenolates. Diisobutylaluminum Benzeneselenolate ( $i-\mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{AlSePh}$ ). Diphenyl diselenide ( 1 equiv) was added portionwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to a solution of DIBAH in hexane ( 2 equiv). The resulting mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and used as a 1 M
solution of $i-\mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{AlSePh}$ in hexane without further purification.
Dimethylaluminum Methaneselenolate ( $\mathbf{M e}_{2} \mathbf{A l S e M e}$ ). This reagent was prepared by heating a 2 M toluene solution of trimethylaluminum with powdered selenium under reflux for 2 h according to the literature procedure. ${ }^{12}$ The yellow-colored solution so generated is ready for use.

General Method for Preparation of Imino Selenoethers. Imino selenoethers were prepared by treatment of oxime sulfonates with $i$ $\mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{AlSePh}$ or $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{AlSeMe}$ in a way similar to the preparation of the imino thioether 3. Since most imino selenoethers are so labile, a shortpath column chromatography on silanized silica gel would be recommended in order to suppress the decomposition during the purification. The physical properties and analytical data for the imino selenoethers are listed in Table VIII.

Reaction of Imino Selenoether 33 with Cupric Chloride. Cupric chloride ( $84 \mathrm{mg}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion to a methanolic solution ( 3 mL ) of the imino selenoether $33(210 \mathrm{mg}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol})$ at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The starting material 33 disappeared immediately with formation of the new product which after removal of methanol was purified by column chromatography on silica gel ( $i-\mathrm{Pr} \mathrm{NH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 1: 30$ ) to give the corresponding imino methyl ether 36 as a colorless oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.66\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.30\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 2.23(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{CCH}_{2}$ ).

General Method for Preparation of Imino Nitriles. Imino nitriles were obtained by the reaction of oxime sulfonates with trimethylsilyl cyanide in the presence of diethylaluminum chloride at low temperature. The synthesis of imino nitrile 38 from 6 -undecanone oxime (37) is representative.

Imino Nitrile 38. 6-Undecanone oxime (37) ( $195 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was converted to its mesylate by the use of methanesulfonyl chloride ( $85 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $1.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and triethylamine ( $0.21 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as described previously. The crude mesylate thus obtained was dissolved in methylene chloride ( 10 mL ), cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and treated successively with trimethylsilyl cyanide ( $0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and diethylaluminum chloride ( 1.1 mL of a 1 M hexane solution, 1.1 mmol ) at this temperature. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred there for 1 h . The mixture was then poured onto ice-cold $10 \% \mathrm{NaOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with methylene chloride several times. Purification of the concentrated crude product by a short-path column chromatography on silanized silica gel (ether-hexane, 1:5) gave imino nitrile $\mathbf{3 8}$ ( 177 mg ,
$91 \%$ yield) as a colorless oil: IR (liquid film) 2960 (s), 2930 (s), 2860 (m), 2220 (w), 1639 (m), 1464 (m), 1380 (m), 1261 (w), $1170 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{~m})$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) \delta 3.62\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 2.46\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{CCH}_{2}\right), 0.92$ ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brt}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ).

Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 74.17 ; \mathrm{H}, 11.41$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 74.02$; H, 11.67.

Imino Nitrile 39: IR (liquid film) 2975 (s), 2945 (s), 2880 (m), 2230 (w), 1641 (m), 1470 (m), 1380 (s), 1352 (w), 1263 (w), 1190 (s), 1170 (m), $975 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{w}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) \delta 3.60\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right)$, $2.45\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{CCH}_{2}\right), 1.35-1.97\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\right)$, $0.78-1.21\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

The syntheses of other imino nitriles $\mathbf{4 0 - 4 3}$ starting from oxime mesylates were carried out in a manner similar to that described above. The physical properties and analytical data of these imino nitriles 40-43 are listed below.

40: IR (liquid film) 3052 (w), 2215 (w), 1660 (m), 1630 (s), 1595 (s), 1580 (w), 1482 (s), 1428 (m), 1370 (m), 1206 (s), 1190 (m), 826 (m), $770(\mathrm{~s}), 692 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{~s}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) \delta 6.53-7.51(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aryl $\mathrm{CH}) 2.04$ and 2.41 ( 3 H , two s (ratio, 17:83), $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ).

Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 74.98 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.59$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 75.38 ; \mathrm{H}$, 5.93.

41: IR (liquid film) 3060 (m), 2980 (s), 2935 (s), 2875 (w), 2220 (w), 1635 (s), 1595 (m), 1482 (m), 1478 (m), 1374 (m), 1185 (s), 1133 (m), $1076(\mathrm{w}), 960(\mathrm{w}), 835 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{~m}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) \delta 6.80-7.72(5 \mathrm{H}$, m , aryl CH$), 2.65\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.28(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ).

42: IR (liquid film) 2930 (s), 2860 (m), 2240 (w), 1735 (s), 1627 (m), 1440 (m), 1370 (s), 1235 (s), 1163 (m), 1115 (w), $1042 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{~m}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) \delta 3.71\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 2.23-2.77(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{CCH}_{2}\right), 1.07-2.07\left(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\right)$.

43: IR (liquid film) 2920 (s), 2850 (m), 2220 (w), 1635 (m), 1456 (m), $1442(\mathrm{~m}), 1374(\mathrm{w}), 1184 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{w}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) \delta 3.68(2 \mathrm{H}$, br t, $\mathrm{NCH}_{2}$ ), $2.53\left(2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, br t, $\left.\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{CCH}_{2}\right), 1.13-2.00\left(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 75.68 ; \mathrm{H}, 10.75 ; \mathrm{N}, 13.57$. Found: C , 75.61 ; H, 10.79; N, 13.60 .

General Method for Preparation of $\alpha$-Alkylated Amines. $\alpha$-Alkylated amines except piperidine systems were conveniently prepared from oxime sulfonates by one of the following five methods.

Method A. Trialkylaluminum ( 4 mL of a 1 M hexane solution, 4 mmol ) was added to a solution of the oxime sulfonate ( 2 mmol ) in methylene chloride ( 10 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 5 min , the solution was warmed to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred there for 1 h . DIBAH ( $3 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The reaction was terminated by dilution with methylene chloride ( 20 mL ) followed by sequential treatment with sodium fluoride ( $1.18 \mathrm{~g}, 28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and water ( $0.38 \mathrm{~mL}, 21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Vigorous stirring of the resulting suspension was continued at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . Filtration, washing with methylene chloride, and removal of sovlent left a pale yellow liquid which was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel ( $i-\operatorname{Pr} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$-ether for aliphatic amines; ether-hexane for aromatic amines as eluant) to give the desired $\alpha$-alkylated amine.

Method B. To an ethereal solution ( 6 mL ) of the alkyne ( 2.2 mmol ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} n$-butyllithium ( 1.3 mL of a 1.56 M hexane solution, 2 mmol ). After 30 min , diethylaluminum chloride ( 2 mL of a 1 M hexane solution, 2 mmol ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution immediately turned to a white suspension, which was stired at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and treated with the oxime sulfonate ( 1 mmol ) in methylene chloride ( 2 mL ) at this temperature. The whole mixture was stirred at $0-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1-3 \mathrm{~h}$ and reduced with DIBAH ( 1.5 mmol ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 $h$ to give the $\alpha$-alkynylamine after workup and purification procedures as described above.

Method C. To a solution of L-menthone oxime (44) ( $338 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $n$-butyllithium ( 1.35 mL of a 1.56 M hexane solution, 2.1 mmol ). After 20 min , methanesulfonyl chloride $(0.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min and at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and treated with trimethylaluminum ( 6 mL of a 1 M hexane solution, 6 mmol ) at this temperature. Stirring was continued at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h to complete the rearrangement. The mixture was then reduced with DIBAH ( 3 mmol ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h to furnish the amine $53(192 \mathrm{mg}$, $57 \%$ yield) after purification by column chromatography on silica gel ( $i-\mathrm{Pr} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$-ether, $1: 100$ ): bp $170-176^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bath temp); IR (liquid film) 2955 (s), 2920 (s), 2875 (s), 1455 (m), 1376 (m), $1173 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{~m}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.43,2.93(2 \mathrm{H}$, two m, NCH $), 0.77-1.30(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ).

Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 78.12 ; \mathrm{H}, 13.60 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.28$. Found: C , 78.10; H, 13.56; N, 8.34.

Method D. To an ethereal solution ( 10 mL ) of L-menthone oxime (44) ( $338 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $n$-butyllithium ( 2.1 mmol ). After $20 \mathrm{~min}, p$-toluenesulfonyl chloride $(400 \mathrm{mg}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added in one
portion. The mixture was then stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , cooled to -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and treated with excess DIBAH ( 6 mmol ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The rear-rangement-reduction sequence was complete at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h to afford the amine $54(278 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$ yield) as a colorless oil: TLC, $R_{f} 0.47$ ( $i-\mathrm{PrNH} \mathrm{N}_{2}$-ether- $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 1: 10: 10$ ); IR (liquid film) 2940 (s), 2895 (s), 2850 (s), 1450 (m), 1375 (s), $1150 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (s); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.84\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 2.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{NCH}), 2.09$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 0.73-1.07 ( $9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ).

Anal. Caled for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{C}, 77.43 ; \mathrm{H}, 13.54 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.03$. Found: C , 77.48; H, 13.56; N, 8.96.

Method E. Excess DIBAH ( 3.5 mmol ) was added to a solution of the oxime sulfonate ( 1 mmol ) in methylene chloride ( 5 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 5 min , the solution was warmed to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred there for $1-5 \mathrm{~h}$. The mixture was then worked up and purified in the usual way.

Physical properties and analytical data of $\alpha$-alkylated amines are given in Table IX.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-Propylcyclopentylamine (67). Tri-n-propylaluminum ( 3 mL of a 1 M hexane solution, 3 mmol ) was added to a suspension of cyclopentanone oxime tosylate (9) ( $253 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in hexane ( 3 mL ) at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min and at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h to give the clear solution which was reduced with DIBAH ( 1.5 mmol) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . Then the reaction was quenched by the $\mathrm{NaF}-$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ method and the crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel ( $i$ - $\mathrm{Pr} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$-ether, $1: 30$ ) to give $67(79 \mathrm{mg}, 62 \%$ yield) as a colorless oil: TLC, $R_{f} 0.48$ ( $i-\mathrm{Pr} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$-ether- $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 1: 10: 10$ ); IR (liquid film) 3375 (w), 2950 (s), 2860 (s), 2800 (m), 1450 (m), 1347 (s), $1133 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{~s}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{NCH}), 2.52$ (2 $\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 0.91\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. These spectral and TLC values were identical with those of the authentic sample prepared by reductive amination of cyclopentanone with $n$-propylamine in the presence of sodium cyanoborohydride.
( $\pm$ )-Coniine (66). A solution of $9(253 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methylene chloride ( 5 mL ) was heated at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and to this was added tri-npropylaluminum ( 1.5 mL of a 2 M toluene solution, 3 mmol ) rapidly. The mixture was heated at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and then cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. DIBAH ( 1.5 mmol ) was added at this temperature. After stirring for 1 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the mixture was worked up and purified by column chromatography on silica gel ( $i-\mathrm{PrNH}_{2}$-ether, $1: 30$ ) affording ( $\pm$ )-coniine $(66)^{20}$ ( $74 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%$ yield) as a colorless oil: TLC, $R_{f} 0.44$ ( $i-\mathrm{PrNH}_{2}-$ ether $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 1: 10: 10$ ); IR (liquid film) 3275 (w), 2915 (s), 2850 (s), 2800 (w), 1440 (m), 1380 (w), 1327 (m), 1314 (w), 1121 (m), 1095 (w), $1055(\mathrm{w}), 750 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{~m}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.87-3.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{NCH})$, 2.22-2.87( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}$ ), $1.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 0.90\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. ( $\pm$ )-Coniine ( 66 ) was also prepared in $55 \%$ yield by the reaction of 9 ( 1 equiv) in 1,2-dichloroethane with ( $n-\mathrm{Pr})_{3} \mathrm{Al}$ (2 equiv) at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min followed by reduction with DIBAH ( 1.5 mmol ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h .

2-Methyl-6-propylpiperidine (68). The title compound was obtained in $70 \%$ yield by sequential treatment of 2-methylcyclopentanone oxime tosylate (10) ( 1 equiv) in methylene chloride with ( $n-\mathrm{Pr})_{3} \mathrm{Al}$ (3 equiv) and DIBAH ( 1.5 equiv) as described for the synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-coniine (66). 68: TLC, $R_{f} 0.37$ ( $i$ - $\mathrm{Pr} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$-ether $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 1: 20: 20$ ); IR (liquid film) 3270 (w), 2940 (s), 2910 (s), 2840 (s), 2780 (m), 2700 (w), 1455 (m), 1435 (m), 1370 (m), $1325(\mathrm{~m}), 1155(\mathrm{w}), 1125(\mathrm{~m}), 1100(\mathrm{w}), 743$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{~m}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.23-2.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{NCH}), 1.74(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 1.05\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCCH}_{3}\right), 0.98\left(3 \mathrm{H}\right.$, br $\left.\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

Anal. Caled for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{C}, 76.53 ; \mathrm{H}, 13.56 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.92$. Found: C , 76.68 ; H, 13.53; N, 9.80 .

Amine 68 was also prepared in $67 \%$ yield using hexane solvent as described above.

Hydrogenation of Enone $\mathbf{6 9}$ over Palladium Black. A solution of $69^{23}$ ( $800 \mathrm{mg}, 5.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in glacial acetic acid $(6.6 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was hydrogenated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 1 atm in the presence of palladium black ( 100 mg ) until the absorption of hydrogen had ceased. The mixture was then filtered and neutralized with a saturated sodium carbonate solution. The crude product was extracted with ether several times. The combined extracts were dried over sodium sulfate and the solvent was evaporated to give a colorless oil which was subjected to GLC analysis ( $10 \%$ Apiezon Grease L on Neopak $1 \mathrm{~A}, 2 \mathrm{~m}, 150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The ratio of $70\left(t_{\mathrm{R}} 4.9 \mathrm{~min}\right) / 73\left(t_{\mathrm{R}} 6.0\right.$ min ) is 73:27. Purification by silica gel chromatography (ether-hexane, $2: 3$ to $1: 1$ ) gave $70(498 \mathrm{mg}), 73(130 \mathrm{mg})$, and their mixture $(131 \mathrm{mg})$. The structures of 70 and 73 were determined by conversion to the corresponding lactams 74 and 75, respectively.

Preparation of Lactam 74. A mixture of the ketone 70 ( $304 \mathrm{mg}, 2$ mmol), hydroxylamine hydrochloride ( $209 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and sodium acetate ( $271 \mathrm{mg}, 3.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol ( 5 mL ) was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . After removal of methanol, the residue was diluted with water and extracted with ether several times. The combined ether extracts were concentrated to give the crude oxime ( 329 mg ), which was dissolved in THF ( 16 mL )-water ( 22 mL ), and treated with sodium hydroxide ( 360 $\mathrm{mg}, 9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by $p$-toluenesulfonyl chloride ( $763 \mathrm{mg}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$ )

Table IX. Analytical Data of $\alpha$-Alkylated Amines

| amine ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)^{\text {b }}$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\delta$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 47 |  | 2.14-3.12 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CHN}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), $2.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 1.08\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ |
| 48 | $\begin{aligned} & 3305(\mathrm{w}), 1260(\mathrm{~m}), 1095(\mathrm{~m}), \\ & 805(\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | 2.23-3.06 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CHN}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), $1.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 0.87\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ |
| 49 | 3350 (w), 1450 (m), 773 (s), 750 (s) | 2.33-3.03 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CHN}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), $1.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 0.88\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ |
| 50 | $\begin{aligned} & 3300(\mathrm{w}), 1461(\mathrm{~s}), 1363(\mathrm{~m}) \\ & 1160(\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | $2.38-3.02\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CHN}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 0.88\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ |
| 51 | $\begin{gathered} 3295(\mathrm{w}), 2230(\mathrm{w}), 1450(\mathrm{~s}) \\ 1340(\mathrm{~m}), 1160(\mathrm{~m}), 745(\mathrm{~s}) \end{gathered}$ | $3.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CCHN}), 2.87\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 2.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 0.90\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ |
| 52 | 3315 (w), 1450 (m), 770 (s) | 2.33-2.96 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CHN}$ ), 1.01 ( $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.90$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ) |
| 55 | 3350 (w), 1450 (m), 775 (s), 750 (s) | 2.28-2.96 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CHN}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), $0.89\left(3 \mathrm{H}\right.$, br t, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ) |
| 56 | $\begin{aligned} & 3300(\mathrm{w}), 1455(\mathrm{~m}), 1440(\mathrm{~m}) \\ & 780(\mathrm{~s}), 760(\mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | 2.41-2.83 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CHN}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), $1.00\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ |
| 57 | 1455 (m), 1440 (m), 780 (s), 775 (s) | $2.61\left(4 \mathrm{H}\right.$, br t, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 1.18-\mathrm{l} .70\left(20 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 0.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH})$ |
| 58 | $\begin{aligned} & 3325(\mathrm{w}), 1485(\mathrm{~m}), 1450(\mathrm{~m}) \\ & 1440(\mathrm{~m}), 765(\mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | 7.05-7.45 ( $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aryl CH), 3.45 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CHN}$ ), $2.79\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$ |
| 59 | $\begin{aligned} & 3390(\mathrm{w}), 1600(\mathrm{~s}), 1500(\mathrm{~s}), 1315 \\ & (\mathrm{~m}), 1255(\mathrm{~m}), 1180(\mathrm{~m}), 745(\mathrm{~m}) \\ & 690(\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.45-7.38(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \operatorname{aryl~CH}), 3.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \text { heptet, } J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHN}), 3.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}), \\ & 1.18\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 60 | $\begin{aligned} & 3390(\mathrm{w}), 1600(\mathrm{~s}), 1500(\mathrm{~s}), 1320 \\ & (\mathrm{~m}), 1255(\mathrm{~m}), 742(\mathrm{~s}), 685(\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | 6.48-7.40 ( $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aryl CH), $3.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 3.14\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 1.22$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ |
| 61 | ```3400(w),1605(s),1500(s),1310 (m), 1255(m), 1180(m),750(s), 690(s)``` | $\begin{aligned} & 6.33-7.28(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \operatorname{arylCH}), 3.78-4.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CHN}), 3.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}), 1.78 \\ & \left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}\right), 1.42\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 62 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6.37-7.21(5 H, m, aryl CH), } 4.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \text { br q, CHN }), 3.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}), 2.10(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \\ & \left.\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{CDCH}}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 63 | $\begin{aligned} & 3390(\mathrm{w}), 1595(\mathrm{~s}), 1490(\mathrm{~s}), 1305 \\ & (\mathrm{~m}), 1240(\mathrm{~m}), 1145(\mathrm{~m}), 740(\mathrm{~s}) \\ & 680(\mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6.45-7.42(10 H, m, aryl CH), } 4.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHN}), 3.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}) \text {, } \\ & 1.55\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 64 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6.15-7.37(4 H, m, aryl CH}), 3.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs}, \mathrm{NH}), 3.18\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), \\ & 2.68\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 65 | 3350 (w), 1465 (s), 1245 (m), 748 (s) | 6.40-7.10 (4 H, m, aryl CH), 3.23 ( 1 H, br s, NH), 2.72 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CHN}, \mathrm{PhCH}_{2}$ ), 0.94 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ) |

${ }^{a}$ Elemental analysis, 48: Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{C}, 75.60 ; \mathrm{H}, 13.38$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 75.98 ; \mathrm{H}, 13.79$. 49: Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{C}, 76.61 ; \mathrm{H}$, 13.47; N, 9.92. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 76.75 ; \mathrm{H}, 13.74 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.51$. 50: Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{C}, 77.43 ; \mathrm{H}, 13.54 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.03$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 77.53: \mathrm{H}, 13.67$; $\mathrm{N}, 8.80$. 52: Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{C}, 77.43 ; \mathrm{H}, 13.54 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.03$. Found: C,77.40; H, 13.66; N, 8.93. 53: Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{C}, 78.12 ; \mathrm{H}$, $13.60 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.28$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 78.10 ; \mathrm{H}, 13.56 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.34$. 54: Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{C}, 77.43 ; \mathrm{H}, 13.54 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.03$. Found: C, $77.48 ; \mathrm{H}, 13.56$; $\mathrm{N}, 8.96$. 55 : Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{C}, 77.43 ; \mathrm{H}, 13.54 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.03$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 77.36 ; \mathrm{H}, 13.29 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.34$. 56 : Calcd tor $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{C}, 79.20$; $\mathrm{H}, 13.70 ; \mathrm{N}, 7.10$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 79.04 ; \mathrm{H}, 13.79, \mathrm{~N}, 7.15$. 59: Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{C}, 80.02 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.62 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.36$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 79.71 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.57$; $\mathrm{N}, 10.70$. 65: Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}: ~ \mathrm{C}, 82.55 ; \mathrm{H}, 10.55 ; \mathrm{N}, 7.40$. Found: C, $82.36 ; \mathrm{H}, 10.26 ; \mathrm{N}, 7.36$. ${ }^{\circ}$ Liquid film. ${ }^{c}$ The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were taken in $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ solution for amines $50,52,55,58,61,62,63$, and 65 , and in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution for other compounds.
at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h , concentrated, and shaken with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaCl}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The crude product was extracted with methylene chloride and chromatographed on silica gel ( $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{AcOEt}, 1: 20$ ) to give the lactam $74(216 \mathrm{mg})$ as white crystals, $\mathrm{mp} 151-153^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (recrystallized from ether-EtOAc) (lit. ${ }^{23} \mathrm{mp} 150-152$ $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{NCH})$, 2.07-2.53 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 0.93 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ).

Lactam 75. Ketone 73 ( 114 mg ) was transformed to lactam 75 ( 80 mg ) exactly as described above. 75: mp 133-134 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (recrystallized from ether); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{NCH})$, 2.09-2.55 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), $0.95\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

Lactam 77. Ketone 70 ( $193 \mathrm{mg}, 1.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was epimerized with potassium tert-butoxide ( $28 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in tert-butyl alcohol ( 2.5 mL ) at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction mixture was then poured into water and extracted with ether to furnish after concentration the mixture of 70 and 76, which was converted into the corresponding oximes using $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}(132 \mathrm{mg}, 1.9 \mathrm{mmol})-\mathrm{NaOAc}(172 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol ( 3 mL ) at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . Usual workup and separation by column chromatography on silica gel (ether-hexane, 1:2) afforded the desired trans-fused perhydroindanone oxime ( 51 mg ) as a minor product. This oxime was subjected to Beckmann rearrangement as described above, providing the trans-fused lactam 77 ( 35 mg ) as colorless needles: mp $159-162^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (recrystallized from acetone) [lit..$^{25} 161{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetone)]; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs}, \mathrm{OH}), 2.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{NCH}), 2.10-2.60$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), $0.94\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

Selective Hydrogenation of 69 over Palladium Black. Ketone 69 (150 $\mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and a catalytic amount of palladium black ( 30 mg ) were mixed in a solvent ( 1 mL ) in the presence or absence of additives (5-20 $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ) and stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under atmospheric pressure of hydrogen for $3-30 \mathrm{~h}$. The catalyst was removed by filtration; the filtrate was neutralized with a saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and extracted with ether three times. The combined ether extracts were concentrated to give the mixture of 70 and 73 , which was subjected to GLC analysis ( $10 \%$ Apiezon Grease L on Neopak 1A) under the standard condition as described previously. The degree of stereoselectivity of the hydrogenation,

## 70/73, thus obtained is summarized in Table IV.

Preparation of Oxime 78. Enone $69(5 \mathrm{~g}, 33 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dioxane ( 30 mL ) was hydrogenated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 1 atm for 24 h in the presence of a catalytic amount of Pd black ( 1 g ) and propionic acid ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 4$ mmol ). The mixture was passed through a Celite 545 pad and the pad was washed with methanol. The combined filtrates were concentrated and treated with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NOH} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}(3.47 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \mathrm{mmol})-\mathrm{NaOAc}(4.5 \mathrm{~g}, 55$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol ( 150 mL ) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . Usual workup followed by recrystallization of the crude product from $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ gave pure oxime $78\left(4.66 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%\right.$ yield) as white crystals: TLC, $R_{f} 0.26$ (etherhexane, $1: 1$ ); mp 101-102 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH})$, 2.26-2.86 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CHC}=\mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ ), $0.94\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

Preparation of Oxime Tosylate 71. $p$-Toluenesulfonyl chloride ( 2.29 $\mathrm{g}, 12 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added portionwise to a solution of oxime $78(1 \mathrm{~g}, 6$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in pyridine ( 15 mL ) at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . The mixture was then poured onto ice and water to furnish white solids which were filtered and washed thoroughly with ice water. This material was dissolved in methylene chloride, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated to give almost pure oxime tosylate 71 ( $1.83 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%$ yield) as white solids: $\mathrm{mp} 69-71^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.18-8.00(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aryl CH$), 2.33-2.90(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{NCH}$ and $\left.\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 2.43\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, aryl $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.88\left(3 \mathrm{H}\right.$, br s, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
(土)-Pumiliotoxin C(72). Tri-n-propylaluminum ( 1.8 mL of a 2 M toluene solution, 3.6 mmol ) was added rapidly to a solution of the oxime tosylate $71(384 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methylene chloride ( 12 mL ) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and reduced with excess DIBAH ( $4.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . Usual workup by $\mathrm{NaF}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ method and chromatography on silica gel ( $i$ - $\mathrm{PrNH}_{2}$-ether$\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 1: 30: 30$ ) produced ( $\pm$ )-pumiliotoxin C (72) ( $135 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ yield) as a colorless oil: TLC, $R_{f} 0.48$ ( $i-\mathrm{PrNH} \mathrm{H}_{2}$-ether- $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 1: 30: 30$ ); GLC ( $10 \%$ Silicone SE-30 on Chromosorb W AW DMCS, $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $t_{R} 2.9$ min ; IR (liquid film) 3310 (w), 2950 (s), 2915 (s), 2855 (s), 2795 (m), 2710 (w), 1462 (m), 1445 (m), 1376 (w), 1325 (w), 1313 (w), 1124 (w), 1097 (w), $1081(\mathrm{w}), 753 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{~m})$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.70-2.96$ (l $\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{8 \mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{H}\right), 2.28-2.74\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{H}\right), 0.93\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.85(3$

Table X. Analytical Data of $\alpha, \alpha$-Dialkylated Amines

| amine ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)^{\text {b }}$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(\delta){ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 89 | $\begin{aligned} & 3260(\mathrm{w}), 1635(\mathrm{~m}), 1430(\mathrm{~m}), 1365 \\ & (\mathrm{~m}), 995(\mathrm{~m}), 910(\mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.39-6.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}), 4.73-5.17\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{C}\right), 2.65\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 2.02 \\ & \left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCH}_{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 90 | $\begin{aligned} & 3310(\mathrm{w}), 2120(\mathrm{w}), 1615(\mathrm{~m}), 1260 \\ & (\mathrm{~m}), 780(\mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | $2.77\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 2.32\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2}\right), 1.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}), 1.15\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ |
| 91 | $\begin{aligned} & 3340(\mathrm{w}), 1640(\mathrm{~m}), 1465(\mathrm{~s}), 1160(\mathrm{~m}), \\ & 915(\mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | 5.36-5.99 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}$ ), 4.70-5.12 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{C}$ ), $2.65\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 2.02$ <br> $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCH}_{2}\right), 0.89\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brt}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ |
| 92 | $\begin{aligned} & 3320(\mathrm{w}), 2125(\mathrm{w}), 1465(\mathrm{~m}), 1440 \\ & (\mathrm{~m}), 1380(\mathrm{~m}), 1145(\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.66\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 2.17\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2}\right), 1.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \\ & \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}), 1.09\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 93 | 1645 (m), 1465 (s), 1450 (s), 920 (s) | 5.39-6.00 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}$ ), 4.72-5.13 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{C}$ ), $2.48\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 2.06$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCH}_{2}$ ), $0.94\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ |
| 94 | 3320 (w), 2130 (w), 1460 (m), 1380 (w) | $2.48\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 2.17\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2}\right), 1.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}), 1.08$ <br> ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ) |
| 95 | $\begin{aligned} & 3330(\mathrm{w}), 1645(\mathrm{w}), 1610(\mathrm{w}), 1460 \\ & (\mathrm{~m}), 1442(\mathrm{~m}), 920(\mathrm{~m}), 755(\mathrm{~s}), \\ & 690(\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.26(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \text { aryl } \mathrm{CH}), 5.63-6.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}), 4.88-5.33\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{C}\right), 2.77 \\ & \left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 2.34\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCH}_{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 96 | $\begin{aligned} & 3410(\mathrm{w}), 1625(\mathrm{~s}), 1600(\mathrm{~s}), 1490(\mathrm{~s}), \\ & 915(\mathrm{~m}), 740(\mathrm{~m}), 690(\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | 6.44-7.29 ( $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aryl CH), 5.46-5.99 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}), 4.79-5.20\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $3.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 2.34\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCH}_{2}\right), 1.27\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ |
| 97 |  | 6.37-7.24 ( $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aryl CH), 4.77-6.01 ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}$ and $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $3.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{NH}), 1.24\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ |
| 98 | $\begin{aligned} & 3300(\mathrm{w}), 2120(\mathrm{w}), 1600(\mathrm{~s}), 1490(\mathrm{~s}), \\ & 1180(\mathrm{~m}), 745(\mathrm{~s}), 690(\mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | 6.48-7.23 ( $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \operatorname{aryl~CH}), 3.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 2.36\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.93$ <br> ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}), 1.35\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ |
| 99 | $\begin{aligned} & 3420(\mathrm{w}), 1605(\mathrm{~s}), 1500(\mathrm{~s}), 1100(\mathrm{~m}), \\ & 920(\mathrm{~m}), 750(\mathrm{~m}), 690(\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.59-7.26(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \text { aryl CH}), 5.58-6.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}), 4.87-5.32\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), \\ 3.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}), 2.49\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCH}_{2}\right), 2.15(2 \mathrm{H}, \text { br t, C=CCH} 2) \end{gathered}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Elemental analysis. 89: Caled for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{C}, 77.63 ; \mathrm{H}, 12.31$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 77.41 ; \mathrm{H}, 12.49$. 92: Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{C}, 79.41 ; \mathrm{H}$, 11.33. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 79.36 ; \mathrm{H}, 11.73$. 93 : Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{C}, 80.94 ; \mathrm{H}, 13.16 ; \mathrm{N}, 5.90$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 80.91 ; \mathrm{H}, 13.22 ; \mathrm{N}, 5.87$. 94 : Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{C}, 81.63 ; \mathrm{H}, 12.45 ; \mathrm{N}, 5.95$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 81.63 ; \mathrm{H}, 12.43 ; \mathrm{N} .5 .95$. 95 : Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{C}, 85.39: \mathrm{H}, 10.28 ; \mathrm{N}$, 4.33. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 85.74 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.99 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.26$. 96 : Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{1}, \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{C}, 82.23 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.78 ; \mathrm{N}, 7.99$. Found, $\mathrm{C}, 82.07 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.89 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.03$. 98 : Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{C}, 83.19 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.73 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.08$. Found: C, $83.42 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.70 ; \mathrm{N}, 7.88$. 99: Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{C}, 84.59 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.60 ; \mathrm{N}, 5.80$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 84.55 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.68 ; \mathrm{N}, 5.77$. $^{b}$ Liquid film. ${ }^{c}$ Taken in $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ solution.
$\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; mass $m / z(\%) 195\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 6\right), 152(100)$. For the characterization of 72, its hydrochloride was prepared and recrystallized from 2-propanol-ether to give colorless needles: $\mathrm{mp} 241-243^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{22}$ 243-244 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); IR (KBr) 3430 (w), 2540 (m), 1582 (s), 1470 (s), 1455 (m), 1445 (m), 1435 (m), 1380 (w), 1185 (w), 1123 (w), 973 (w), 955 (w), 750 (w), $658 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (w).

Preparation of Amine 80. A successive Beckmann rearrrangement-alkylation-reduction sequence of 71 (1 equiv) in methylene chloride was carried out using trimethylaluminum ( 3 equiv) and DIBAH (4 equiv) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as described above to afford the amine $\mathbf{8 0}$ in $57 \%$ yield as a colorless oil: GLC $\left(10 \% \mathrm{SE}-30,165^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) t_{R} 1.36 \mathrm{~min}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 2.62-3.32(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{NCH}), 1.01\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.97(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); mass $m / z(\%) 167\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 22\right), 152(44), 135(24), 124$ (100); mp of $\mathbf{8 0} \cdot \mathrm{HCl} 204-206^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (i-PrOH-ether); IR (KBr) of $\mathbf{8 0} \cdot \mathbf{H C l}$ 1592 (s), 1482 (m), 1467 (m), 1386 (m), 1340 (w), 1310 (w), 1134 (w), 1073 (w), 1050 (m), 980 (w), $927 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (w).

2-Undecylcyclopentanone Oxime (83). A mixture of cyclopentanone ( $44.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 500 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1 -undecene ( $7.71 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in the presence of silver(I) oxide $(6.20 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \mathrm{mmol})$ was heated at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h ac cording to the literature procedure. ${ }^{32}$ After workup, the crude 2 -undecylcyclopentanone was treated with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NOH} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}(5.22 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \mathrm{mmol})-$ NaOAc ( $8.20 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h to furnish pure anti-oxime $83\left(9.25 \mathrm{~g}, 73 \%\right.$ yield) as a semisolid: TLC, $R_{f}$ 0.51 (ether-hexane, $1: 2) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) \delta 5.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH})$, $2.20-2.63\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CHC}=\mathrm{N}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}\right), 0.89\left(3 \mathrm{H}\right.$, br $\left.\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

2-Undecylcyclopentanone Oxime Mesylate (84). Oxime 83 was converted to the corresponding oxime mesylate 84 in $90-95 \%$ yield by the general method for preparation of oxime mesylates. 84: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) \delta 2.98\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.40-2.84(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CHC}=\mathrm{N}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}\right), 0.89\left(3 \mathrm{H}\right.$, br $\left.\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

Preparation of Imine 85. Trimethylaluminum ( 6.8 mL of a 2 M toluene solution, 13.6 mmol ) was added dropwise to a solution of the oxime mesylate $84(1.51 \mathrm{~g}, 4.54 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methylene chloride at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 5 min , the mixture was allowed to warm to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred there for 1 h . Workup by the $\mathrm{NaF}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ method and purification by column chromatography on silica gel ( $i$ - $\mathrm{Pr} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$-ether, $1: 200$ ) gave imine $85(0.65$ $\mathrm{g}, 57 \%$ yield) as a light yellow oil: TLC, $R_{f} 0.58$ ( $i-\operatorname{PrNH} \mathrm{N}_{2}$-ether, $1: 100$ ); IR (liquid film) 2920 (s), 2850 (s), 1660 (m), 1460 (m), 1445 (w), 1370 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{w}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) \delta 3.40-3.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{NCH}), 1.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}\right), 0.89\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

Reduction of Imine 85. Unless otherwise stated, imine 85 (0.3-1 mmol ) was added to hydride reagents at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon, and then additives were introduced. The reaction mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , at $-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , and finally at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . After workup by the $\mathrm{NaF}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ method, the crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel ( $i$ - $\mathrm{PrNH}_{2}$-ether, $1: 200$ ).

From the first elution, the cis isomer 86 was obtained: TLC, $R_{f} 0.37$ ( $i-\mathrm{Pr} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$-ether, $1: 100$ ); GLC (Silicone OV-101, $210^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $l_{R} 3.91 \mathrm{~min}$; IR (liquid film) 3290 (w), 2950 (s), 2920 (s), 2850 (s), 1460 (m), 1440 (w), 1373 (w), 1320 (w), 1128 (w), $720 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (w); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $2.33-2.83(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{NCH}), 1.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 1.06(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}\right), 0.88\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. From the later elution, the trans isomer 81 was collected: TLC, $R_{f} 0.26\left(i-\mathrm{PrNH} 2_{2}\right.$-ether, $\left.1: 100\right)$; GLC (Silicone OV-101, $210^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $t_{R} 4.31 \mathrm{~min}$; IR (liquid film) 3265 (w), 2945 (s), 2915 (s), 2845 (s), 1455 (m), 1440 (m), 1370 (w), 1335 (w), 1140 (w), 1065 (w), $715 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{~m})$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 81 was superimposable on that of 86 . The spectral properties of 81 and 86 were identical with those of an authentic sample. ${ }^{26}$

Some results under different reduction conditions are summarized in Table VI. In the runs 2,5 , and 8 , imine 85 was added to a mixture of hydride reagents and additives at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In run 3 the imine was reduced at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h and worked up in the usual manner. The use of excess $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ ( 7 equiv of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ to 4 equiv of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Al}$ ) or excess $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Al}(10$ equiv of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Al}$ to 5 equiv of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ ) did not affect the ratio of $\mathbf{8 1}$ to 86. A typical example is demonstrated by the reduction of the imine 85 with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}-\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Al}$. To a suspension of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(80 \mathrm{mg}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 5 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were added sequentially imine $85(75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3$ mmol) in THF ( 1 mL ) and $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Al}(1.05 \mathrm{~mL}$ of a 2 M toluene solution, 2.1 mmol ). The resulting mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , at $-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , and finally at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . After workup by $\mathrm{NaF}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ method, the crude product was purified by column chromatography to give a mixture of 81 and 86 ( $74 \mathrm{mg}, 97 \%$ yield) in a ratio of $95: 5$.

Solenopsin B (82). Solenopsin B (82) was prepared with high stereoselectivity ( $95 \%$ pure by GLC analysis) using procedures which exactly paralleled those described above for the synthesis of solenopsin $\mathbf{A}$. The isomeric ratio of $\mathbf{8 2}$ to its cis form was determined by GLC analysis: (Silicone OV-101, $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $t_{R}(82) 5.18 \mathrm{~min}$; $t_{R}$ (cis isomer) 4.65 min .

Preparation of $\alpha, \alpha$-Diallylamine 87. Allyldiethylaluminum was prepared by treatment of allylmagnesium bromide $(5.4 \mathrm{~mL}$ of a 0.77 M ethereal solution, 4 mmol ) with diethylaluminum chloride ( $4 \mathrm{~mL}, 4$ mmol) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . To this was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ a solution of acetophenone oxime mesylate (1) ( $213 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methylene chloride ( 2 mL ). The resulting mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and worked up by the $\mathrm{NaF}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ method. Column chromatography on silica gel (ether-hexane, 1:10) produced the $\alpha, \alpha$-diallylamine 87 ( $94 \mathrm{mg}, 47 \%$ yield) as a colorless oil: TLC, $R_{f} 0.52$ (ether-hexane, $1: 5$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) \delta 6.42-7.28(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aryl CH$), 5.45-6.22(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m},=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{C})$, $4.78-5.30\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{C}\right), 3.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} s, \mathrm{NH}), 2.34(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $\left.7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.25\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

General Method for Preparation of $\alpha, \alpha$-Dialkylated Amines. $\alpha, \alpha$ Dialkylated amines were prepared in good yields via the Beckmann re-
arrangement－alkylation sequence by alkylaluminum reagents followed by the introduction of the second alkyl groups to the resulting ketimines by allylic or propargylic Grignard reagents．The synthesis of 2－allyl－2－ methylazacycloheptane（88）is illustrative．To a solution of cyclo－ hexanone oxime mesylate（11）（191 mg， 1 mmol ）in methylene chloride （ 5 mL ）at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added trimethylaluminum（ 1 mL of a 2 M toluene solution， 2 mmol ）．After 5 min ，the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 30 min ．The solution was cooled to -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with allylmagnesium bromide $(1.67 \mathrm{~mL}$ of a 1.2 M ethereal solution， 2 mmol ）at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min and at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h ．Then the mixture was poured onto a $10 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ solution（ 30 mL ），shaken well，and centrifuged to remove the white gel．Extractive workup with methylene chloride，followed by column chromatography on silica gel （ $i-\mathrm{Pr} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$－ether，1：50），furnished 2－allyl－2－methylazacycloheptane（88） （ $92 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ yield）as a colorless oil：TLC，$R_{f} 0.57$（ $i-\operatorname{Pr} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$－ether－ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{l}: 20: 20\right)$ ；${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) \delta 5.37-6.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CCH}=\mathrm{C})$ ， $4.70-5.13\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.43-2.80\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 2.03(2 \mathrm{H}$ ， $\left.\mathrm{d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCH}_{2}\right), 1.23-1.77\left(8 \mathrm{H}\right.$ ，br s，$\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}\right), 1.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 0.93\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ ．

Anal．Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}$ ：C， 78.37 ； $\mathrm{H}, 12.50$ ．Found：C， 78.37 ； H ， 12．21．

In case of $\alpha$－propargylation，excess propargyl Grignard reagents（4 equiv）are required with prolonged reaction time（ $>4 \mathrm{~h}$ ）．Other examples of the reaction are listed in Table VI and the physical properties and analytical data for $\alpha, \alpha$－dialkylamines are given in Table X ．
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Registry No．（E）－1，85027－95－8；（E）－1 oxime，10341－75－0；2，85027－ 96－9；（E）－3，59073－50－6；（E）－4，85027－97－0；（E）－（土）－5，85027－98－1； （Z）－（ $\pm$ ）－6，85027－99－2；$( \pm)-7,85028-00-8 ;( \pm)-8,85028-01-9 ; 9$ ， 10442－97－4； 9 oxime，1192－28－5；（E）－（ $\pm$ ）－10，85028－02－0；$(E)$－（ $\pm$ ）－10 oxime，85028－03－1；11，80053－69－6； 11 oxime，100－64－1；12，17512－84－4； $(E)-( \pm)-13,85028-04-2 ;(E)-( \pm)-13$ oxime， $85027-98-1 ; 14,80053-71-0 ;$

14 oxime， $2158-31-8 ; 15,80053-73-2 ; 15$ oxime， $946-89-4 ; 16,80053$－ 72－1；（E）－（ $\pm$ ）－17，85114－16－5；18，85028－05－3；19，19766－29－1；（ $\pm$ ）－20， 85028－06－4；21，39488－50－1；22，85028－07－5；23，85028－08－6；24， 85028－09－7；25，85028－10－0；26，85048－06－2；（土）－27，85028－11－1；（E）－28， 85028－12－2；$(E)$－29，85028－13－3；$(E)$－30，85028－14－4；$(E, E)$－31，85028－ 15－5；32，85028－16－6；33，85028－17－7；（E）－34，85028－18－8；（E）－35， 85028－19－9；36，29376－34－9；37，32504－26－0；38，85028－20－2；39， 85028－21－3；40，23579－36－4；41，85028－22－4；42，85028－23－5；43， 85028－24－6；$(E)$－L－44，37886－68－3；$(E)-45,85028-25-7$ ；$(E)$－45 oxime， 68253－35－0；$(E)$－46，85028－26－8；$(E)$－46 oxime，68253－36－1；$( \pm)-47$ ， 85028－27－9；（ $\pm$ ）－48，85028－28－0；（ $\pm$ ）－49，85028－29－1；（土）－50，85028－ 30－4；（ $\pm$ ）－51，85028－31－5；cis－（ $\pm$ ）－52，85048－07－3；trans－（ $\pm$ ）－52，85048－ 08－4； 53 （isomer 1），85081－37－4； 53 （isomer 2）， $85081-38-5$ ；（ $2 S$－ trans）－54，85081－39－6；（ $\pm$ ）－55，85028－32－6；（土）－56，85028－33－7；57， 295－03－4；（ $\pm$ ）－58，85048－09－5；59，768－52－5；60，103－69－5；（ $\pm$ ）－61， 85028－34－8；（ $\pm$ ）－62，85048－10－8；（ $\pm$ ）－63，85028－35－9；64，635－46－1； （ $\pm$ ）－65，85028－36－0；$( \pm)-66,3238-60-6 ; 67,39190-95-9 ; 68,68170-79-6 ;$ （ $\pm$ ）－69，80053－78－7；$( \pm)-70,62400-71-9 ;(E)-( \pm)-70$ oxime，80053－79－8； （ $\pm$ ）－72，55785－29－0；$( \pm)-72 \cdot \mathrm{HCl}, 55785-30-3 ;( \pm)-73,85028-37-1$ ； （ $\pm$ ）－74，55950－19－1；$( \pm)-75,85081-40-9 ;( \pm)-76,62400-72-0 ;( \pm)-77$ ， 62446－08－6；（土）－78，85028－38－2；（土）－80，80053－67－4；（土）－81，28720－ 60－7；（ $\pm$ ）－82，83019－10－7；cis－（ $\pm$ ）－82，83019－09－4；（E）－（ $\pm$ ）－83，83019－ 14－1；（E）－（ $\pm$ ）－84，83019－15－2；（土）－85，83019－11－8；$( \pm)-86,63950-16-3 ;$ 87，85028－39－3；（ $\pm$ ）－88，85028－40－6；（ $\pm$ ）－89，85028－41－7；（ $\pm$ ）－90， 85028－42－8；（ $\pm$ ）－91，85028－43－9；（ $\pm$ ）－92，85028－44－0；$( \pm)-93,85028-$ 45－1；94，85028－46－2；（ $\pm$ ）－95，85028－47－3；96，85028－48－4；97（isomer 1），85028－49－5； 97 （isomer 2），85028－50－8；98，85028－46－2；（ $\pm$ ）－99， 85028－51－9； $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{AlSEt}, 81701-35-1 ; \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{AlSCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ，85028－52－ 0 ； $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{AlSPh}, 36896-63-6$ ； $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{AlSBu}-\imath$ ，60699－27－6； $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{AlS}-2$－pyridyl， 85028－53－1； $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{AlS}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{6} \mathrm{SAlMe}_{2}, 85028$－54－2； $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{AlSeMe}$ ，67132－ 62－1；$i$－ $\mathrm{Bu}_{2}$ AlSePh，85028－55－3；DIBAH，1191－15－7；MeSSMe，624－92－ $0 ; \mathrm{PhCN}, 100-47-0 ; \mathrm{PhSeSePh}, 1666-13-3 ; \mathrm{CuCl}_{2}, 7447-39-4 ; \mathrm{Pr}_{3} \mathrm{Al}$ ， 102－67－0； $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{AlCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \quad 18760-02-6 ; \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{MgBr}$ ， 1730－25－2； $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{AlCl}, 96-10-6$ ；cyclopentanone，120－92－3；1－undecene， 821－95－4．
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#### Abstract

The chemistry of the $\alpha, \omega$－diphenylalkanes， $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{n} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}[n=1-4]$ ，in highly purified anhydrous $\mathrm{SbCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{SbCl}_{3}-10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ melts has been investigated from 100 to $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by in situ ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy and by quench and separation techniques．These substrates，which are often used to model the aliphatic chains that link aromatic and hydroaromatic clusters in coal，are found to undergo selective cleavage of the $\mathrm{sp}^{2}-\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ bond．For $n=1$ and 2 products are formed via a transaralkylation reaction，while for $n=3$ and 4 the cleavage results in the selective production of only benzene and either indan $(n=3)$ or tetralin（ $n=4$ ）．Toluene is also reactive in $\mathrm{SbCl}_{3}-\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ ，and typical transalkylation chemistry is observed． The relative rates for reaction in the aprotic $\mathrm{SbCl}_{3}$ melts are in the order $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph} \gg \mathrm{Ph}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ph} \sim \mathrm{Ph}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Ph} \sim \mathrm{Ph}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Ph}$ $\gg \mathrm{PhCH}_{3}$ ．These relative reactivities，the discovery that $\mathrm{SbCl}_{3}-10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ is a much more active catalytic medium than $\mathrm{SbCl}_{3}$ alone，and the product distributions can be explained by a mechanism in which the rate－determining step involves the generation of a benzylic cation as the key reactive intermediate by hydride abstraction by $\mathrm{SbCl}_{2}{ }^{+}$in the melt．This research provides new insights into the mechanism by which an aprotic molten salt medium catalyzes bond cleavage reactions for the $\alpha, \omega$－diphenylalkanes at temperatures substantially below those required for thermolysis．In addition，the mechanism is discussed in relation to mechanisms proposed for the transalkylation reactions of alkylbenzenes，which normally employ strong protic acid catalysts．


Recent interest in molten salt catalysis has stemmed from reports that molten metal halides such as $\mathrm{SbX}_{3}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I}),{ }^{1}$ $\mathrm{BiX}_{3}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}),{ }^{1} \mathrm{AsI}_{3},{ }^{1} \mathrm{ZnX}_{2}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}),{ }^{2} \mathrm{ZnI}_{2},{ }^{3,4}$ and

[^9]$\mathrm{ZnX} \mathbf{X}_{2}-\mathrm{CuX}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{I})^{4}$ ，which are relatively weak Lewis acids （particularly those based on Sb ，As，and Bi ），are very active catalysts for hydrocracking coal．When used in massive amounts， these catalysts are not only efficient at depolymerizing and li－ quefying coal，but also distinguish themselves from conventional
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